

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**  
**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 5/28/2008**

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Portland District, NWP-2008-99, Breeden Homes**

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

State: Oregon County/parish/borough: Lane City: Eugene

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 44.0135435918501° N, Long. -123.101637346787° W.

Universal Transverse Mercator: 491853.169823129 X; 4873382.12031215 Y

Name of nearest waterbody: Amazon Creek headwaters tributary

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Willamette River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 17090003

☒ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

☒ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 30, 2008

☐ Field Determination. Date(s):

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **Are no** "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

☐ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

☐ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  
Explain: .

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There **Are** "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):<sup>1</sup>**

☐ TNWs, including territorial seas

☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs

☒ Relatively permanent waters<sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

☐ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

☐ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

☒ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

☐ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

☐ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

☐ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**

Non-wetland waters: 2400 linear feet: ~20 width (ft) and/or acres.

Wetlands: 0.05 acres.

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual**

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): .

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>**

☐ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.  
Explain: .

<sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. **TNW**

Identify TNW: .

Summarize rationale supporting determination: .

2. **Wetland adjacent to TNW**

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: .

#### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are “relatively permanent waters” (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. **Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

(i) **General Area Conditions:**

Watershed size: 410 **square miles**

Drainage area: 214 **acres**

Average annual rainfall: ~50 inches

Average annual snowfall: <5 inches

(ii) **Physical Characteristics:**

(a) **Relationship with TNW:**

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

☒ Tributary flows through **2** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **2-5** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **1-2** river miles from RPW.

Project waters are **2-5** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are **1 (or less)** aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: The 1/4 mile open channel, headwaters reach discharges into a pipe downstream (north) of the project for a short distance, then becomes an open channel for about 500 feet. The flow is placed into a pipe for about

<sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

3/4 mile where it enters Amazon Creek. From this point Amazon Creek flows as a mostly open channel in a westerly direction through Eugene and north west to Fern Ridge Reservoir. The Corps owned and operated flood control facility is located on the Long Tom River. The Long Tom River joins the Willamette River at two locations approximately 20 miles north, about 10 miles south of Corvallis. .  
Tributary stream order, if known: 1<sup>st</sup> order.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

**Tributary is:** ☒ Natural  
☐ Artificial (man-made). Explain: .  
☒ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Historically, Amazon Creek was modified by diversion for irrigation and channelization for agriculture. As Eugene has urbanized and grown, the Creek and its tributaries have been further enhanced for stormwater conveyance and flood control. Restoration projects, sponsored by several partners including the City and the Corps, are underway in the Headwaters and in the lower reach of Amazon Creek to restore natural stream hydrology and channel morphology. The subject headwater stream has been channelized by placing about 3/4 mile of the stream in a pipe. The open channel is incised likely due to alterations to the natural flow regime to a flashier system in response to increased runoff during storm events. .

**Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):**

Average width: 10 feet  
Average depth: 5-8 feet  
Average side slopes: **2:1**.

**Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):**

☒ Silts ☐ Sands ☐ Concrete  
☐ Cobbles ☐ Gravel ☒ Muck  
☐ Bedrock ☒ Vegetation. Type/% cover: naturalized, native and non-native herbaceous, woody  
☐ Other. Explain: .

species

condition.

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: The subject reach is stable and in good

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: not present, likely due to urbanizing conditions.

Tributary geometry: **Relatively straight**

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 2-4 %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: **Seasonal flow**

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: **1**

Describe flow regime: perennial.

Other information on duration and volume: According to Terra Science, Inc. who completed the wetland delineation, this reach of stream flows year round. The stream has a defined bed and bank with a discernible ordinary high water mark. .

Surface flow is: **Discrete and confined**. Characteristics: .

Subsurface flow: **Unknown**. Explain findings: .

☐ Dye (or other) test performed: .

**Tributary has (check all that apply):**

☒ Bed and banks  
☒ OHWM<sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  
☒ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☒ the presence of litter and debris  
☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ destruction of terrestrial vegetation  
☐ shelving ☐ the presence of wrack line  
☒ vegetation matted down, bent, or absent ☐ sediment sorting  
☐ leaf litter disturbed or washed away ☐ scour  
☒ sediment deposition ☐ multiple observed or predicted flow events  
☐ water staining ☐ abrupt change in plant community  
☐ other (list):  
☐ Discontinuous OHWM.<sup>7</sup> Explain: .

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

☐ High Tide Line indicated by: ☐ Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

<sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> oil or scum line along shore objects      | <input type="checkbox"/> survey to available datum;                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) | <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings;                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> physical markings/characteristics         | <input type="checkbox"/> vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> tidal gauges                              |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> other (list):                             |  |

(iii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: clear, the upper watershed is fairly pristine due to limited development, within the vicinity of the project area, development has resulted in some visible water quality changes and alterations in hydrology due to an increase in runoff from new impervious surfaces. In addition, the adjacent upslope development has routed building foundation drains toward the creek to prevent accumulation of water around structures.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: No specific pollutants have been identified. However, it can be reasonably assumed oil and grease and other hydrocarbons from motorized vehicles have made their way into the stream reach proportionate with increases in development and associated infrastructure.

(iv) **Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):**

- ☒ Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): a narrow riparian corridor exists along the subject reach although roads have removed it in some areas.
- ☒ Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Two small wetlands lay adjacent to subject reach within the project area. delineated.
- ☐ Habitat for:
- ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .
  - ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
  - ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
  - ☒ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The stream likely supports invertebrates and small fish.

2. **Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW**

(i) **Physical Characteristics:**

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland size: 0.05 acres

Wetland type. Explain: Palustrine emergent toeslope .

Wetland quality. Explain: Vegetatively, the sites are relatively undisturbed. Pre-settlement, the project site was described as a foothill savannah prairie. Subsequent land use activities such as logging may have affected the overall extent and type of wetlands. The two wetlands (0.02-acre and 0.03-acre) occupy the flat terrace in hydric (Panther) soils at the foot of the somewhat steep hill slope. .

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: **Intermittent flow**. Explain: The primary hydrology source for the wetlands appears to be shallow groundwater discharge at the base of the slope and concentrated flow from hillside runoff directed into the wetlands from newer development upslope. Groundwater infiltration is restricted by bedrock near the soil surface. The natural site hydrology appears to have been altered by residential construction. .

Surface flow is: **Overland sheetflow**

Characteristics: The flow to the wetlands is discrete through swales that flow into the slightly concave wetlands. During times of seasonally higher rainfall and early in the growing season, it is expected the wetlands discharge into the adjacent perennial stream and contribute to sustained flows.. .

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings: .

☐ Dye (or other) test performed: .

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

☐ Directly abutting

☒ Not directly abutting

☒ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: The wetlands are expected to discharge directly to the tributary during the rainy winter months and early in the growing season. During the dry season around August and September the hydrologic connection between the wetlands and the stream is severed. .

☒ Ecological connection. Explain: The wetlands are adjacent to a tributary of Amazon Creek. Amazon Creek is tributary to the Long Tom River, which is tributary to the Willamette River, a Section 10 water. The wetland functions included water storage and delay, filtering and settling pollutants, floodwater attenuation, wildlife and songbird habitat and serve as wildlife corridors for a variety of animal species. Amazon Creek is listed as water quality limited for a number of parameters including temperature, e.coli, and dissolved oxygen and well as having measurable concentrations of some heavy metals. Most foothill toeslope position prairie wetlands in the Willamette Valley have been altered or eliminated including the Long Tom Watershed. The remaining wetlands continue to provide the water quality functions described above..

☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: .

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **2-5** river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **2-5** aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: **Wetland to navigable waters.**

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **2 - 5-year** floodplain.

(ii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: water is expected to be clear since the soils are not organic. The wetlands lay in an urbanizing watershed within the City's urban growth boundary. They are remnants of the prairie wetlands sustained by regular burning throughout the Willamette Valley up to the time burning eliminated by European settlers..

Identify specific pollutants, if known: No known pollutants..

(iii) **Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):**

☒ Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): The wetlands exist within a successional riparian buffer about 100 feet wide along the east bank of the stream.

☒ Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: The wetlands have no functional value for turtle or fish habitat, characteristic of their landscape position. A limited structural diversity exists but in combination with proximity to the stream likely supports wildlife and songbird habitat and expands the foraging corridor for these species. The sites are well vegetated and provide sediment stabilization and phosphorus removal as well as floodwater attenuation.

☒ Habitat for:

☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .

☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .

☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .

☒ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Wetland prairie historically functioned as fall/winter habitat for waterbirds, but only while native Kalapuya Indians managed the Valley with fire. Currently these wetlands enhance riparian functions by providing vegetative diversity and increasing the riparian corridor. The corridors maintain connectivity to the Fern Ridge Wildlife protection areas further west through the restored West Eugene wetlands.

**3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)**

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **2**

Approximately ( 0.05 ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	<u>Size (in acres)</u>	<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	<u>Size (in acres)</u>
N	0.02		
N	0.03		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The wetlands lay in a flat terrace at the toe of a Valley slope. They seasonally contribute cool groundwater to the adjacent stream.

**C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION**

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

**Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:**

1. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: .
2. **Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: .
3. **Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW.** Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The wetlands expand the riparian corridor horizontally and vertically and add structural diversity, which increases habitat for wildlife and songbirds and maintains foraging connectivity to downstream navigable waters. The wetlands provide water quality functions by stabilizing sediments and removing phosphorus, floodwater attenuation and water storage and delay. The stream reach and its adjacent wetlands contribute significantly to the downstream watershed by seasonally providing clean, relatively high quality water to Amazon Creek, a water quality limited stream..

**D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

- ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  
☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- ☒ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: The 1/4 mile open channel, headwaters reach discharges into a pipe downstream (north) of the project for a short distance, then becomes an open channel for about 500 feet. The flow is placed into a pipe for about 3/4 mile where it enters Amazon Creek. From this point Amazon Creek flows as a mostly open channel in a westerly direction through Eugene and north west to Fern Ridge Reservoir. The Corps owned and operated flood control facility is located on the Long Tom River. The Long Tom River joins the Willamette River at two locations approximately 20 miles north, about 10 miles south of Corvallis. .
- ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow “seasonally” (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- ☒ Tributary waters: ~5780 linear feet 10 width (ft).  
☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres.  
 Identify type(s) of waters: .

3. **Non-RPWs<sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- ☐ Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- ☐ Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  
☐ Other non-wetland waters: acres.  
 Identify type(s) of waters: .

4. **Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- ☐ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  
☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is

<sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

directly abutting an RPW: .

- ☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow “seasonally.” Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: .

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:          acres.

**5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- ☒ Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: **0.05** acres.

**6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- ☐ Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:          acres.

**7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.<sup>9</sup>**

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- ☐ Demonstrate that impoundment was created from “waters of the U.S.,” or  
☐ Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  
☐ Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):<sup>10</sup>**

- ☐ which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.  
☐ from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.  
☐ which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.  
☐ Interstate isolated waters. Explain: .  
☐ Other factors. Explain: .

**Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:** .

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- ☐ Tributary waters:          linear feet          width (ft).  
☐ Other non-wetland waters:          acres.  
Identify type(s) of waters: .  
☐ Wetlands:          acres.

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  
☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  
☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR).  
☐ Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .  
☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above): .

<sup>9</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- ☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):      linear feet      width (ft).  
☐ Lakes/ponds:      acres.  
☐ Other non-wetland waters:      acres. List type of aquatic resource:      .  
☐ Wetlands:      acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- ☐ Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):      linear feet,      width (ft).  
☐ Lakes/ponds:      acres.  
☐ Other non-wetland waters:      acres. List type of aquatic resource:      .  
☐ Wetlands:      acres.

#### **SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):**

- ☒ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:      .  
☒ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  
☒ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  
☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  
☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps:      .  
☒ Corps navigable waters’ study: Portland District Navigable Riverways.  
☒ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:      .  
☐ USGS NHD data.  
☒ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  
☒ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000, Eugene East.  
☒ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Web Soil Survey.  
☐ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:      .  
☐ State/Local wetland inventory map(s):      .  
☐ FEMA/FIRM maps:      .  
☐ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is:      (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)  
☐ Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date):      .  
                                  or ☐ Other (Name & Date):      .  
☐ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:      .  
☐ Applicable/supporting case law:      .  
☐ Applicable/supporting scientific literature:      .  
☒ Other information (please specify): SuhbBasin Browser, Long Tom Watershed Council, City of Eugene Stormwater Basin Plan for Amazon Creek.

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** The small prairie wetlands are remnant of the previous vast Willamette wet prairie wetlands maintained by the Kalapuya Indians to provide them with basic food sources. The overall benefits wetland prairies located adjacent to stream corridors provide are well-documented in the literature. They exist as part of the historical Willamette Valley landscape along with oak savannah and even in their altered state continue to provide important habitat functions for wildlife and songbirds and water quality improvements to urbanized waterways downstream .